Attorney Docket No.: 701826-056380-US

Response to Office Action Submitted December 11, 2008

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the outstanding office action is respectfully requested.

Applicants have amended claim 11 and added new claim 12.

The rejection of claims 1-6, 11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite are respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully point out that claim 11 is directed to a method of <u>producing</u> polyunsaturated fatty acids, and not to a method of extracting or isolating such polyunsaturated fatty acids. On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledges that "there is no specific requirement which specifically addresses the need for a recovery step". Applicants believe that the Examiner's request will unnecessarily leave some area open between what is disclosed by the invention and what is covered by the claims. Thus, narrowing the scope of claim 11 to include a recovery step may render the patent just as worthless as if it were invalid, since anyone would be free to use the invention in the unprotected area. Further, if recovery were desired, a person skilled in the art would know how to recover the produced polyunsaturated fatty acids following well-known techniques, which techniques are taught, for example, in:

D'Souza et al., 2002, "Flocculated microalgae concentrates as diets for larvae of the tiger prawn Penaeus monodon Fabricius", Aquacult. Nutr., 8: 113-120;

Babarro et al., 2001, "Influence of preservation techniques and freezing storage time on biochemical composition and spectrum of fatty acids of *Isochrysis galbana* clone T-ISO", Aquacult. Res. 32: 565-572; and

Heasman et al., 2000), "Development of extended shelf-life microalgae concentrate diets harvested by centrifugation for bivalve molluscs - a summary", Aquac. Res. 31; and

Knuckey et al., 2006, "Production of microalgal concentrates by flocculation and their assessment as aquaculture feeds", Aquacultural Engineering 35: 300-313.

Enclosed is a copy of these references for submission in an IDS concurrently with

11187966.1 Page 4 of 7

Attorney Docket No.: 701826-056380-US

Response to Office Action Submitted December 11, 2008

the response. Therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is improper and should be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1, 3-5, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), as anticipated by McGinnis are respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully points out that nowhere in McGinnis is there disclosed a method for specifically producing polyunsaturated fatty acids as claimed in the present application. McGinnis only teaches a method of increasing the lipid content of *C. muelleri*, without specifically demonstrating the increase of polyunsaturated fatty acids. McGinnis also points out (page 23, 2nd para.) that total lipid increases in certain diatoms but not in others. McGinnis specifically states that the nutrient stress is applied at day 4 (page 20, 2nd para.). According to figure 3 (page 21), day four is clearly in the dormant phase of growth, not in at the end of the exponential phase as stated by the Examiner in the office action. Thus, McGinnis is not enabling for a method of specifically increasing polyunsaturated fatty acids by applying at least one growth-limiting factor to a culture of diatomaceous algae at the end of the exponential growth phase, causing growth arrest of said culture and production and stocking by said algae in culture of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Applicants wish to respectfully remind the Examiner that it is well known in the art of cell culture that the same stress applied to a cell culture at different time points on the growth curve will have dramatically different results. Applicants also respectfully remind the Examiner that lipids encompass a broad class of molecules such as fats, oils, waxes, cholesterol, sterols, fat-soluble vitamins (such as vitamins A, D, E and K), monoglycerides, diglycerides, phospholipids, and others. Thus, demonstrating that the lipid content is increased does not necessarily mean that the polyunsaturated fatty acid content is increased. For example, the content of vitamins might increase, which will translate in an increase of the total lipids amount, without affecting the amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) for anticipation by McGinnis is improper and should be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 4, 5 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), as anticipated by Dempster are respectfully traversed. Applicants respectfully submit that nowhere in Dempster is there disclosed a method for producing <u>polyunsaturated fatty acids</u> as claimed in the present application. Dempster only teaches a method of increasing the lipid content of *N. communis*, without demonstrating the increase of <u>polyunsaturated fatty acids specifically</u>. As pointed out

Attorney Docket No.: 701826-056380-US

Response to Office Action Submitted December 11, 2008

above with respect to McGinnis, the nutrient stress of Dempster was applied after the exponential growth phase, during the dormant phase on Day 4 (page 19). Dempster does not teach a method for specifically producing polyunsaturated fatty acids from diatomaceous algae, comprising the step of applying at least one growth-limiting factor to a culture of diatomaceous algae at the end of the exponential growth phase, causing growth arrest of said culture and production and stocking by said algae in culture of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Thus it is believed that the claims are novel in view of Dempster. Therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) for anticipation by Dempster is improper and should be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1, 3, 4 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), as anticipated by Taguchi are respectfully traversed. Applicants respectfully submit that nowhere in Taguchi is there disclosed a method for producing polyunsaturated fatty acids as claimed in the present application. Taguchi only teaches a method of increasing the lipid content of *Chaetoceros gracilis*, *Hantzschia* sp. and *Cyclotella* sp., without demonstrating the increase of polyunsaturated fatty acids specifically. Additionally, as noted above, Taguchi applies the nutrient stress during stationary phase (page 261, para. 3). Figure 1 shows arrows indicating when the stress was applied, in each instance the stress was applied well into the dormant phase not at the end of the exponential growth phase, causing growth arrest of said culture and production and stocking by said algae in culture of polyunsaturated fatty acids, as claimed in the present application. Therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) for anticipation by Taguchi is improper and should be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1-6, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), for obviousness are respectfully traversed.

Regarding the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-6 and 11 as allegedly obvious over McGinnis et al., taken with Dempster and Taguchi et al., Applicants reiterate that none of the references specifically teach a method of producing polyunsaturated fatty acids in diatomaceous algae. All the references cited by the Examiner only teach a method of producing lipids. There is no teaching or suggestion in any of the cited references that specifically, polyunsaturated fatty acids can be enriched. Further, the references cited by the Examiner are not enabling for a method of specifically increasing polyunsaturated fatty acids. Applicants wish to remind the Examiner that lipids encompass a broad class of molecules such as fats, oils, waxes, cholesterol,

11187966.1 Page 6 of 7

Attorney Docket No.: 701826-056380-US

Response to Office Action Submitted December 11, 2008

sterols, fat-soluble vitamins (such as vitamins A, D, E and K), monoglycerides, diglycerides, phospholipids, and others. Thus, demonstrating that the lipid content is increased does not necessarily mean that the polyunsaturated fatty acids content is increased. For example, the content of vitamins might increase, which will translate in an increase of the total lipids amount, without affecting the amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids. It is thus believed that the claims now on file are novel and inventive in view of the prior art, taken alone or combination.

Further, McGinnis points out that research results in certain species are not observed in other species in the same study (McGinnis page 23, 2nd para.). And, as stated in Taguchi (page 260, column 2, 1st para):

"The production and storage of lipids by microalgea are regulated by environmental factors in a manner that is not always systematic, and can be very species specific. Despite years of research ... few accurate generalizations have emerged...."

Therefore, the references alone and in combination do teach or suggest the method of the instant application, nor, for the reason stated herein, do any of the references cited render the presently claimed invention obvious. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-6, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), for obviousness is improper and should be withdrawn.

In view of all the foregoing, it is submitted that this case is in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees and credit any overpayments that may be due in connection with this submission to Nixon Peabody LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0850.

Date: December 11, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

Customer No. 50828 /Stephen R. Duly/

David S. Resnick (Reg. No. 34,235) Stephen R. Duly (Reg. No. 56,183) NIXON PEABODY LLP 100 Summer Street

Boston, MA 02110-2131

Tel: (617) 345-6057 / 1270